Introduction to Statistics and Data Science using *eStat* Chapter 8 Testing Hypothesis for Two Populations

# 8.3 Testing hypothesis for two population proportions

Jung Jin Lee Professor of Soongsil University, Korea Visiting Professor of ADA University, Azerbaijan

- Examples of comparing the two population proportions.
- Is there a gender gap in the approval rating for a particular candidate in this year's presidential election?
- A factory has two machines that make products. Do the two machines have different defect rates?

Hypothesis for two population proportions:

1) 
$$H_0: p_1 = p_2$$
 2)  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$  3)  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$   
 $H_1: p_1 > p_2$   $H_1: p_1 < p_2$  3)  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$   
 $H_1: p_1 < p_2$   $H_1: p_1 < p_2$  3)  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$ 

Estimator of difference in population means p<sub>1</sub> - p<sub>2</sub>
⇒ difference in sample means p̂<sub>1</sub> - p̂<sub>2</sub>

- Sampling distribution of  $\hat{p}_1 \hat{p}_2$ , if samples are large  $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \approx N(p_1 - p_2, \frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2})$
- Test Statistic if  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$  is true

$$\frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n_1} + \frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n_2}}} \approx N(0,1)$$

where  $\overline{p} = \frac{n_1 \hat{p}_1 + n_2 \hat{p}_2}{n_1 + n_2}$  is weighted average of sample proportions

Table 8.3.1 Testing hypothesis for two population proportions - two independent large samples -



[Example 8.3.1] In this year's presidential election, 54 out of 225 samples from male population supported the candidate A and 52 out of 175 samples from female population supported the candidate A where samples are independent.

- Test whether there is a difference in male and female approval ratings at a 5% significant level.
- Check the results using "eStatU.

#### <Answer of Ex 8.3.1>

• The hypothesis of this proble is  $H_0$  :  $p_1 = p_2$  ,  $H_1$  :  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and its decision rule is as follows.

$$\left| \frac{p_1 - p_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\overline{p}(1 - \overline{p})}{n_1} + \frac{\overline{p}(1 - \overline{p})}{n_2}}} \right| > z_{\alpha/2}, \text{ then reject } H_0, \text{ else accept } H_0$$

• Since  $\hat{p}_1 = 54/225 = 0.240$ ,  $\hat{p}_2 = 52/175 = 0.297$ ,  $\overline{p}$  and the test statistic can be calculated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{p} &= (54+52) / (225 + 175) = 106/400 = 0.265 \\ \left| \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\overline{p}(1 - \overline{p})}{n_1} + \frac{\overline{p}(1 - \overline{p})}{n_2}}} \right| = \left| \frac{0.240 - 0.297}{\sqrt{\frac{0.265(1 - 0.265)}{225} + \frac{0.265(1 - 0.265)}{175}}} \right| = 1.28 \\ z_{\alpha/2} &= z_{0.05/2} = z_{0.025} = 1.96 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the hypothesis  $H_0$  cannot be rejected and we conclude that there is not enough evidence to say that the approval ratings of certain male and female candidates are different.

#### <Answer of Ex 8.3.1>

#### Testing Hypothesis p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>

[Hypothesis]  $H_o: p_1 - p_2 = D$  0 •  $H_1: p_1 - p_2 \neq D$  •  $H_1: p_1 - p_2 > D$  •  $H_1: p_1 - p_2 < D$ [Test Type] Z test Significance Level  $\alpha = \odot 5\% \odot 1\%$ [Sample Data] Sample Size  $n_1 =$ 225 175  $n_2$ =  $\hat{p}_2$ Sample Proportion  $\hat{p}_{1}$  = 0.240 0.297 = Execute

 $[\text{TestStat}] = (\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 - D) / \sqrt{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})(1/n_1 + 1/n_2))} \sim N(0,1)$ 0.45 -0.40 0.35 -0.30 -0.25 -0.20 -0.15 -0.10 -0.025 0.025 0.05 -0.00 -Reject Ho -> -1.960 <- Accept Ho -> 1.960 <- Reject Ho [TestStat] = -1.282 p-value = 0.2000 [Decision] Accept Ho

Ho:  $p_1 - p_2 = 0.00$ , H1:  $p_1 - p_2 \neq 0.00$ 



# Thank you